ANOVA F TEST

ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) is a statistical technique for comparing several means and to determine if the differences between the means are statistically significant.

To examine how pet dogs affect people in stressful situations, researchers recruited 45 women who owned a pet dog. Fifteen of the women were randomly assigned to each of three groups to do a stressful task alone, with a good friend present or with their pet dog present.

The stressful task was to count backwards by 13s or 17s. During the task, the subject's mean heart rate (in beats per minute) was recorded:

| Alone | Good Friend | Pet Dog |
|--------|-------------|---------|
| 80.369 | 99.692 | 69.169 |
| 87.446 | 83.400 | 70.169 |
| 90.015 | 102.154 | 75.985 |
| 99.046 | 80.277 | 86.446 |
| 87.231 | 91.354 | 68.862 |
| 91.754 | 100.877 | 64.169 |
| 87.785 | 101.062 | 97.538 |
| 77.800 | 97.046 | 85.000 |
| 84.738 | 88.015 | 58.692 |
| 84.877 | 81.600 | 79.662 |
| 73.277 | 86.985 | 69.231 |
| 84.523 | 92.492 | 69.538 |
| 70.877 | 89.815 | 70.077 |
| 75.477 | 98.200 | 72.262 |
| 62.646 | 76.908 | 65.446 |

Do the mean heart rates for the groups provide convincing evidence that the presence of a pet or friend affects heart rate during a stressful task?

1. PUT DATA INTO SEPARATE LISTS; CHECK DISTRIBUTIONS/REMOVE ANY OUTLIERS.

Alone: *Moderately skewed*; no outliers

Good Friend: Symmetric; no outliers

Pet Dog: *Outlier* (97.53) → *Remove from list; Moderately skewed; No Outlier*

2. PERFORM 1-VARIABLE STATS:

| GROUP | MEAN | STANDARD DEVIATION |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Alone (L ₁) | 82.52 | 9.24 |
| Good Friend (L ₂) | 91.33 | 8.34 |
| Pet Dog (L ₃) | 71.76 | 7.70 |

P) STATE PARAMATER(S)

 μ_1 = Mean heart rate when performing stressful task alone

 μ_2 = Mean heart rate when performing stressful task with a close friend

 μ_3 = Mean heart rate when performing stressful task with pet dog

H) STATE HYPOTHESES

$$H_0$$
: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$

$$H_a$$
: $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3$

A) CHECK THAT ANOVA CAN BE SAFELY USED (TO COMPARE MEANS):

a) Independent random samples

Subjects were randomly assigned to the three treatment groups yielding independent groups; hear rates were independent of each other

b) Population has normal distribution or $n \ge 30$ (Central Limit Theorem) or evidence of normality (n < 30)

Data reasonably safe to use (after outlier removed)

c) All standard deviations are the *same* [2(smallest) \leq largest]

$$2(7.70) = 15.4 < 9.24$$

T) PERFORM TEST

TESTS \rightarrow ANOVA (L₁, L₂, L₃) \rightarrow F = 19.33 (df = 2), P-value = .000001

S) STATE CONCLUSION

At α = .01, we reject H_0 and conclude the experiment gives strong evidence that the average heart rates of subjects differ when performing a stressful task alone, with a close friend present or a pet dog present.

From the descriptive data analysis, stress levels appear to be highest when a friend is present and lowest when a pet dog is present.